### MEMORANDUM (Sign & Return to Contracts)

DATE: _ 6	2/17/11
TO:	Laura Aguilar, Contracts Administrator
FROM:	Claudia Mankique-Miklusek (print your name)
SUBJECT:	Proposal Review Committee Member Non-Disclosure/Conflict of Interest Statement for RFP No. 11-001-BR06, City of Moreno Valley: Alessandro Boulevard Corridor Implementation Project
Proposers:	
Gı	ruen Associates
_M	ooney Planning Collaborative
Ra	nimi + Associates
RI	BF Consulting
will not disc (including pr discussed by  I am proposed sub being friends substantially, made arrange the event that procurement, may provide  If you of interest that	also certifying that, to the best of my knowledge regarding the above offerors (including the contractors), neither I nor any member of my family has any direct financial or personal (e.g., s with one of the offerors) interest which conflicts substantially, or appears to conflict with my duties as a member of the PRC. I also certify that I am not negotiating for, nor have I ements concerning future employment with any of the offerors or proposed subcontractors. In t I later become aware of a conflict of interest, I agree to immediately recuse myself from the report the matter to the Contracts-Administrator, and abide by any further instructions she/he

## **INTERVIEW** EVALUATION FORM

Compass Blueprint Demonstration	on Project Alessandro Boulevard Corridor Implementation Project
Project/Partner Jurisdiction	City of Moreno Valley
Consultant Name:	Consoling
	8

Evaluation Criteria	Max. Possible Points	Points Earned	Comments
TECHNICAL APPROACH  Tasks & approach clearly described Creative/innovative approach Project intent has been met	30	28	chapt ordere w/3 sample graphics? - EST-3 libelies for low - (city knows 1 for su "Nie defined ~ Challenges + vaso" section 4 meetings
CONSULTANT FIRMS: Prime Consultant: Familiar with regional & local issues Experience with similar project of the same size and scope  Sub-Consultants (if any): Each sub provides unique service(s) to the team Subs are fully capable of performing their tasks	30	Z	"Urlan desorm strategies of Met steps" - he add man (CAP climate Acher Plan) experiences • RSA (trans)— METE — ecan analysis—
PROJECT MANAGEMENT  • Qualifications of key individuals • Time commitment of key individuals	20	D	
PROJECT COST  • Realistic cost for services to be performed	20	0	
REFERENCES     Similar projects completed on time and within budget	Pass/ Fail		
TOTAL	100	and	

Name of Evaluator (print):	a Manrique Mikhock	Agency: Ag Aloreno Valley	
Signature of Evaluator:	and a flech	Date: 2 P/V	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

## **PROPOSAL** EVALUATION FORM

Compass Blueprint Demonstration Project	t Alessa	ndro Boul	evard Corridor Implementation Project
Project/Partner Jurisdiction City of	Moreno V	alley	
Consultant Name:	atos		
Evaluation Criteria	Max. Possible Points	Points Earned	Comments
TECHNICAL APPROACH  Tasks & approach clearly described Creative/innovative approach Project intent has been met	30	20	" cach itam clearly defined.  • nice samples swork/departure provided.  • creethre? yes what influenced by postwork, of for project intend has clearly been met.
CONSULTANT FIRMS:	30	Ç	) . experience we GPA for transit oriented developments.

		55.00		
TECHNICAL APPROACH  Tasks & approach clearly described Creative/innovative approach Project intent has been met	30	28	"cach item clearly defined.  • nice samples south of purions provided.  • creethre? you that influenced by purions, of four project intend has clearly been me	
CONSULTANT FIRMS:  Prime Consultant: Familiar with regional & local issues Experience with similar project of the same size and scope	30	30	o experience w/ EPA for transit ortented developments in experience w/ streat dessign - Cook Caty	
Sub-Consultants (if any):  Each sub provides unique service(s) to the team  Subs are fully capable of performing their tasks		€	Heris Inc - Transportation (traffic sours - transports subtide to support Elistrans section Houses Assoc - Environmental Consulting (18 & noticeted NOD: Fracessay)	ion)
PROJECT MANAGEMENT  • Qualifications of key individuals  • Time commitment of key individuals	20	20		
PROJECT COST  • Realistic cost for services to be performed	20	16	lost almost twice what we were proportional again not knowing "real costs")	
REFERENCES  • Similar projects completed on time and within budget	Pass/ Fail	P		
TOTAL	100	(97)		

Name of Evaluator (print):	Agency:	Cody of Marsino	Valley
Signature of Evaluator	Date:	2/17/11	
	-	;	

## **PROPOSAL** EVALUATION FORM

Compass Blueprint Demonstratio	n Project Alessandro Boulevard Corridor Implementation Project
Project/Partner Jurisdiction	City of Moreno Valley
Consultant Name:	Planning Callaborostrie

Evaluation Criteria	Max. Possible Points	Points Earned	Comments:
TECHNICAL APPROACH  Tasks & approach clearly described Creative/innovative approach Project intent has been met	30	24	· Clearly befored · Good Oxamples organished was experience
CONSULTANT FIRMS: Prime Consultant: Familiar with regional & local issues Experience with similar project of the same size and scope  Sub-Consultants (if any): Each sub provides unique service(s) to the team Subs are fully capable of performing their tasks	30	ngo	cies w/ Dubek  · experime w/ mozono (ounday)  · landscape designer on starth  · ENT (designer on — worked on Whade II co  · ENT (designer on — worked on Whade II co  · LO (trans) - study(dota collection, analysis  - experience in Moval (Kaiser Gro
PROJECT MANAGEMENT  • Qualifications of key individuals  • Time commitment of key individuals	20	20	DAY PROPERTY ( COUNTY )
PROJECT COST  Realistic cost for services to be performed	20	17	Seams realisation
REFERENCES  • Similar projects completed on time and within budget	Pass/ Fail	2	
TOTAL	100	(93)	

Name of Evaluator (print): ( \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Agency: My Morera a ly
Signature of Evaluator:	Date: 2 1711

# PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

Compass Blueprint Demonstration Project	ct Aless	sandro Bou	levard Corridor Implementation Project
Project/Partner Jurisdiction City o	f Moreno	Valley	
Consultant Name: Raimi	scial	8	
Evaluation Criteria	Max. Possible Points	Points Earned	Comments
TECHNICAL APPROACH  Tasks & approach clearly described  Creative/innovative approach  Project intent has been met	30	28	- Intent met, but would a little more  - like adolition of greacon person
CONSULTANT FIRMS: Prime Consultant: Familiar with regional & local issues Experience with similar project of the same size and scope  Sub-Consultants (if any): Each sub provides unique service(s) to the team	30	Z	- Like adolista of the scan person  The meetings of recommensed 6PHs of propose than  "worked on Aless Cornidor Project  Fisher Paers (chans) - Street design, Traffic Shey  MK+E (Econ) - Man  Impart Sciences (Environ) - East Shay, Urise
Subs are fully capable of performing their tasks      PROJECT MANAGEMENT     Qualifications of key individuals     Time commitment of key individuals	20	200	Import Sciences (Environ) - Eas Aprily, Notes
PROJECT COST  • Realistic cost for services to be performed	20	14	Sem-real Expir
REFERENCES  • Similar projects completed on time and within budget	Pass/ Fail	8	
TOTAL	100	(02)	
Name of Evaluator (print): Quidia Canking	pe Yikh		ency: City of Moreno Valley  Date: 2/17/11